

# EFFECT OF INTEGRATED PHOSPHORUS MANAGEMENT ON GROWTH, YIELD ATTRIBUTES AND YIELD OF SUMMER GREEN GRAM (VIGNA RADIATA L.)

#### D. K. RATHOUR\*, A. K. GUPTA, R. R. CHOUDHARY AND A. C. SADHU<sup>1</sup>

Department of Agronomy, B. A. College of Agriculture, A. A. U., Anand Gujarat - 388 110, INDIA <sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Physiology, B. A. College of Agriculture, A. A. U., Anand Gujarat - 388 110, INDIA e-mail: rathored18@yahoo.com

**KEYWORDS** Green gram Phosphorus

PSB

#### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during summer season of the year 2012. Result revealed that application of 40 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> from SSP significantly increased growth and yield attributes and seed yield (802 kg/ha)over control but remain at par with application 20 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> from SSP + PSB inoculation @ 5mL kg<sup>-1</sup> seed. The highest nodule dry weight (35 mg/plant), protein content in seed (24.20%) and BCR value 3.31 was recorded with 20 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> from SSP. Which indicate that inoculation of PSB save 50 per cent inorganic phosphorus fertilizer.

**Received on :** 11.07.2014

Accepted on : 04.01.2015

\*Corresponding author

### INTRODUCTION

Phosphorus fertilization occupies an important place amongst the non-renewable inputs in modern agriculture. Crop recovery of added phosphorus seldom exceeds 20 per cent and it may be improve by the judicious management. As the concentration of available P in the soil solution is normally insufficient to support the plant growth, continual replacement of soluble P from inorganic and organic sources is necessary to meet the P requirements of crop (Tisdale et al., 2010). Additional application of P is Increase nodule formation which increase nitrogen fixation and finally productivity of green gram (Prasad et al., 2014). Organic manures play a vital role in increasing the productivity of pulses by several means. For example, FYM not only supplies all the major and micro nutrients, but also act as a soil conditioner and increase the productivity (Kamdi et al., 2014). The use of PSB as biofertilizer may convert insoluble phosphorus to soluble phosphorus and make it available to the plant. Bacillus spp. are the most abundant P-solubilizers in the soil. Therefore, this study aiming to achieve higher efficiency of applied phosphatic fertilizers and effect of organic and inorganic sources with and without PSB inoculation. Keeping all this in view, present research problem was carried out.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present experiment was carried out during *summer* season 2012 on loamy sand soil of Anand Gujarat under irrigated

condition with 12 treatments and 4 replications in a randomized block design. The soil of experimental plot was having 0.3 dSm<sup>-1</sup> EC, 7.6 pH, 0.39 organic carbon, (Jackson, 1973) 183.4 kg available N, (Subbiah and Asija, 1956) 27.08 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (Chopra and Kanvar, 1976) and 282.93 K<sub>2</sub>O (Jackson, 1973). The treatments tried were absolute control (T<sub>1</sub>), 20 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> form SSP (T<sub>2</sub>), 40 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> from SSP  $(T_3)$ , 20 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> from DAP  $(T_4)$ , 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> from DAP  $(T_5)$ , 20 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> from DAP + 100 kg gypsum ha<sup>-1</sup>  $(T_6)$ , 40 kg P,O, ha-1 from DAP + 200 kg gypsum ha-1 (T, ), 20 kg P,O, ha<sup>-1</sup> from DAP + 5 tonne ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM (T<sub>8</sub>), 20 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> from SSP + 5 tonne ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM (T<sub>a</sub>), 20 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> from DAP + PSB inoculation ( $T_{10}$ ), 20 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> from SSP + PSB inoculation  $(T_{11})$ , 10 tonne ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM + PSB inoculation  $(T_{12})$ . Starter dose of N@ 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was common for all treatments. PSB (Bacillus coaglans) having count of 108 CFU ml-1 were applied in in equal amount (5 ml kg<sup>-1</sup> seed) in all the concerned treatments. SSP (16 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 12% sulphur), DAP (46% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 18 % nitrogen) and gypsum (15% sulphur) were applied as per treatments. Green gram variety Meha was sown in 5.0 x 3.6 m plot having 30 cm row spacing.

Observations on dry root nodules was recorded in five randomly uprooted plants from each plot at 45 DAS. Plant height, branches plant<sup>1</sup>, pods plant<sup>1</sup>, pod length, seeds pod<sup>1</sup> and seed weight plant<sup>1</sup> were recorded by five randomly selected tagged plants before five days to harvesting. Seed and stover yield, test weight, net realization and BCR were recorded after harvest of the crop and Protein estimation was done at laboratory.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Growth attributes

The plant height at harvest was significantly affected due to different organic, inorganic and PSB treatments (Table 1). Treatment T<sub>11</sub> (20 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> from SSP + PSB inoculation) recorded higher plant at harvest (43.7 cm). Significantly lower plant height 36.1 cm was observed under treatment T, i.e. control than other treatments. Significantly higher number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (5.15) was observed under the treatment of T<sub>2</sub> (40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> from SSP) and significantly the lowest number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (2.80) was recorded under the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Table 1). Significantly higher number of root nodule plant<sup>1</sup> (35.00) was recorded in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (20 kg  $P_{a}O_{c}$  ha<sup>-1</sup> from SSP + PSB inoculation) and significantly the lowest number of root nodules plant<sup>1</sup> (16.63) was recorded under the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control). Treatment T<sub>11</sub> recorded more than double (110%) root nodules number plant<sup>1</sup>. The increase in growth attributes under the treatment  $T_{11}$  (20 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> from SSP + PSB inoculation) and T<sub>3</sub> (40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> from SSP) could be attributed to better proliferation of roots and increased nodulation due to increased phosphorus availability. Phosphorus encourage formation of new cells, promote plant vigour and hastens leaf development, which help in harvesting more solar energy and better utilization of nitrogen, which help towards higher growth attributes. The results are in conformity with those of Chovatia et al. (1993), Shrinivas and Mohammad (2002) and Shukla and Dixit (1996).

#### Yield attributes and yield

Different organic, inorganic and PSB treatments were significantly differ in yield attributes and yield of green gram *viz.*, number of pods plants<sup>-1</sup>, length of pod, number of seed pod<sup>-1</sup>, test weight, yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, seed yield, stover yield.

Significantly higher number of pods plant<sup>1</sup> (37.60), seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> (8.53) as well as seed yield plant<sup>1</sup> (9.13 g) were remarkably improved due to use of different organic, inorganic and PSB treatments were recorded in the treatment  $T_3$  (40 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> from S.S.P). Significantly higher length of pods (6.48 cm) was recorded (Table 1) in the treatment  $T_5$  (20 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> from DAP). These parameters were lowest in control ( $T_1$ ). Phosphorus play a primary role in photosynthesis by way of

energy transfer and thereby increase photosynthetic efficiency resulting in increased availability of photosynthetes. These all together resulted in overall increase in yield attributes. Similar findings were reported by Pal and Jana (1991), Rajkhowa *et al.* (1992).

Seed yield (802 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stover yield (1921 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were observed under the treatment T<sub>2</sub> (40 kg  $P_2O_{\epsilon}$  ha<sup>-1</sup> from SSP) than treatment T<sub>1</sub> (control), but was found at par with treatment  $T_{11}$  (20 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> from SSP + PSB inoculation),  $T_7$ ,  $T_5$  and  $T_{10}$ . The treatments  $T_3$ ,  $T_7$ ,  $T_{11}$ ,  $T_5$  and  $T_{10}$  increases the seed yield by 38.1%, 35.3 %, 33.4%, 31.7% and 29.3% over control, respectively. The increment in seed yield by phosphorus application was due to increase in growth attributes and yield attributes over control, which is finally contributes in seed yield. The treatments T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> have 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> chemical phosphorus, while treatments  $T_{11}$  and  $T_{10}$ have only 20 kg ha-1 chemical phosphorus and biofertilizer (PSB) thus, these treatments reduced 50% of dose of phosphorus and gave statistically same seed yield than treatments having 100% dose of phosphorus ( $T_3$ ,  $T_7$  and  $T_5$ ). The increase of seed yield may be due to increase in P

availability through solubilization of phosphate rich compound. The PSB secrete a number of organic acids which may form chalets resulting in effective solubilization of phosphate, favoured higher nitrogen fixation, dry matter accumulation, rapid growth, higher absorption and utilization of P and other plant nutrients and ultimately positive resultant effect on growth and finely yield attributes,. Similar result with half dose of chemical phosphorus through SSP with PSB were also reported by Chesti and Ali (2007).

#### Protein content

Protein content (24.20%) was significantly higher in the treatment  $T_{11}$  (20 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> from SSP + PSB inoculation) than other treatments except treatments  $T_3$ ,  $T_7$ ,  $T_5$ ,  $T_4$  and  $T_9$ . The lowest protein content (20.25%) was recorded in treatment  $T_1$  (control). significant role of these treatments in root enlargement, better microbial activities resulted in more availability and uptake of nitrogen and thereby increased protein content in seed. The results are in agreement with those of Patel et *al.* (2013), Jat et *al.* (2012) and Shukla and

Table 1: Effect of different treatments on growth and yield attributes of summer green gram

Treatment	Plant height at harvest (cm)	Branch plant <sup>1</sup>	Pods plant <sup>1</sup>	Pod length (cm)	Seeds pod-1	Seed weight plant <sup>1</sup> (g)	Test weight (g)
T,	36.1	2.80	14.5	5.95	6.64	3.55	32.30
T <sub>2</sub>	39.5	3.95	23.6	6.12	7.48	5.78	34.85
T <sub>3</sub>	41.8	5.15	37.6	6.29	8.53	9.13	36.63
T,	41.0	3.60	22.9	6.01	6.91	5.60	35.73
T,	41.4	5.13	32.6	6.48	7.96	8.00	36.23
T <sub>6</sub>	41.7	3.60	27.2	6.33	7.45	6.65	34.68
T <sub>7</sub>	42.3	4.68	33.0	6.37	8.23	8.15	36.18
T <sub>8</sub>	40.9	3.50	21.6	5.81	6.75	5.35	33.88
Т,	40.6	3.85	23.2	5.81	6.98	5.70	34.60
T <sub>10</sub>	41.5	3.85	27.1	6.43	7.13	6.70	35.20
T <sub>11</sub>	43.7	4.35	29.0	6.41	7.74	7.20	36.20
T <sub>12</sub>	40.2	2.95	24.5	6.00	6.85	6.05	35.25
S.Ém. <u>+</u>	0.89	0.20	2.01	0.12	0.12	0.49	0.69
C.D. at 5%	2.56	0.58	5.78	0.34	0.34	1.40	2.00
C.V. %	4.35	10.25	15.22	3.81	3.81	15.00	3.95

Treatment	Seed yield (kg/ha)	Stover yield (kg/ha)	Protein content (%)	Dry root nodules weight (gm)	Net return	BCR
T <sub>1</sub>	581	1493	20.25	16.63	23659	2.67
$T_2$	703	1651	23.30	23.83	30385	3.01
$T_3^2$	802	1921	24.16	31.38	35955	3.25
T₄	698	1626	23.66	20.75	29922	2.97
T,	765	1810	23.97	30.03	33287	3.05
T <sub>6</sub>	703	1621	23.28	22.25	30220	2.99
Т <sub>7</sub>	786	1863	24.09	31.88	34231	3.06
T <sub>8</sub>	730	1732	23.31	27.00	26776	2.31
T <sub>9</sub>	741	1706	23.66	29.50	27497	2.35
T <sub>10</sub>	751	1768	23.73	30.50	33281	3.18
T <sub>11</sub>	775	1892	24.20	35.00	35082	3.31
T <sub>12</sub>	705	1720	23.23	23.65	20896	1.85
S.Ēm. <u>+</u>	21.1	53.4	0.30	1.87		
C.D. at 5%	60.7	153.6	0.85	5.38		
C.V. %	5.79	6.16	2.53	13.93		

Table 2: Effect of different treatments on yield, protein content and economics of green gram

Dixit (1996).

#### REFERENCES

Chesti, M. H. and Ali, T. 2007. Effect of integrated phosphorus management on yield, nutrient availability and phosphorus transformation in green gram. J.Res., SKUAST-J. 6(2): 232-237.

Chopra, S. L. and Kanvar, J. S. 1976. Analytical Agricultural Chemistry, *Kalyani Publisher*, New Delhi.

Chovatia, P. K., Ahlawat, R. P. S. and Trivedi, S. J. 1993. Growth and yield of summer green gram (*Phaseolus radiata*) as affected by different date of sowing, *rhizobium* inoculation and level of phosphorus. *Indian J. Agron.* **38(3):** 492-494.

Jackson, M. L. 1973. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.

Jat, S. L., Prasad, K. and Parihar, C. M. 2012. Effect of organic manuring on productivity and economics of summer mungbean (*Vigna radiata, L.*). Ann. Agric. Res., **33(1 & 2):** 17-20.

Kamdi, T. S., Sonkamble, P. and Joshi, S. 2014. Effect of organic manure and biofertilizers on seed quality of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*). *The Bioscan.* 9(3): 1011-1013.

Pal, A. K. and Jana, P. K. 1991. Effect of phosphorus, rhizobium inoculation on summer green gram (Phaseolus radiata L.). Indian J.

Agron. 36(4): 536-540.

**Patel, H. R., Patel, H. F., Maheriya, V. D. and Dodia, I. N. 2013.** Response of kharif green gram (*Vigna radita* L. wilczek) to sulphur and phosphorus fertilization with and without biofertilizer application. *The Bioscan.* **8(1):** 149-152.

**Prasad, S. K., Singh, M. K. and Singh, J. 2014.** Response of rhizobium inoculation and phosphorus levels on mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) under guava-based agri-horti system. *The Bioscan.* **9(2):** 557-560.

Rajkhowa, D. J., Thakura, K. and Baroova, S. R. 1992. Response of *summer* green gram (*Phaseolus radiata* L.) varieties to sources and level of phosphorus.*Indian J. Agron.* **37(3)**: 589-590.

**Shrinivas, M. and Mohammad, S. 2002.** Performance of green gram (*Vigna radiata L.*) and response function as influenced by different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. *Crop Res.* **24(3):** 458-462.

Shukla, R. K. and Dixit, R. S. 1996. Effect of *rhizobium* inoculation, plant population and phosphorus on growth and yield of summer green gram (*Phaseolus radiata L.*). *Indian J. Agron.* 41(4): 611-615.

Subbiah, B. V. and Asija, G. L. 1956. A rapid procedure for the determination of available nitrogen in soils. *Curr. Sci.* 25: 259-260.

**Tisdale, S. L., Nelson, W. L., Beaton, J. D. and Havlin, J. L. 2010.** Soil fertility and fertilizer: An introduction to nutrient management. PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. p. 184.

# INSTRUCTION TO AUTHORS The Bioscan

An International Quarterly Journal of Life Science

# THE JOURNAL

The Bioscan is an international quarterly journal of life sciences with international editorial board. The journal is online and details can be seen (downloaded from the site. www.thebioscan.in). For any query e-mail at m\_psinha@yahoo.com & dr.mp.sinha@gmail.com can be used.

## **AIM & SCOPE**

The journal aims to publish original peerly reviewed/ refereed research papers/reviews on all aspects of life sciences.

# SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

Only original research papers are considered for publication. The authors may be asked to declare that the manuscript has not been submitted to any other journal for consideration at the same time. Two hard copies of manuscript and one soft copy, complete in all respects should be submitted. The soft copy can also be sent by email as an attachment file for quick processing of the paper.

# FORMAT OF MANUSCRIPT

All manuscripts must be written in English and should be typed double-spaced with wide margins on all sides of good quality A4 paper.

First page of the paper should be headed with the title page, (in capital, font size 16), the names of the authors (in capitals, font size 12) and full address of the institution where the work was carried out including e-mail address. A short running title should be given at the end of the title page and 3-5 key words or phrases for indexing.

The main portion of the paper should be divided into Abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion (or result and discussion together), Acknowledgements (if any) References and legends.

Abstract should be limited to 200 words and convey the main points of the paper-outline, results and conclusion or the significance of the results.

**Introduction** should give the reasons for doing the work. Detailed review of the literature is not necessary. The introduction should preferably conclude with a final paragraph stating concisely and clearly the aims and objectives of your investigation. **Materials and Methods** should include a brief technical description of the methodology adopted while a detailed description is required if the methods are new.

**Results** should contain observations on experiment done illustrated by tables and figures. Use well known statistical tests in preference to obscure ones.

**Discussion** must not recapitulate results but should relate the author's experiments to other work on the subject and give their conclusions.

All tables and figures must be cited sequentially in the text. Figures should be abbreviated to Fig., except in the beginning of a sentence when the word Figure should be written out in full.

The figures should be drawn on a good quality tracing/ white paper with black ink with the legends provided on a separate sheet. Photographs should be black and white on a glossy sheet with sufficient contrast.

References should be kept to a minimum and listed in alphabetical order. Personal communication and unpublished data should not be included in the reference list. Unpublished papers accepted for publication may be included in the list by designating the journal followed by "in press" in parentheses in the reference list. The list of reference at the end of the text should be in the following format.

- Witkamp, M. and Olson, J. S. 1963. Breakdown of confined and non-confined Oak Litter. *Oikos*. 14:138-147.
- 2. Odum, E.P. 1971. Fundamentals of Ecology. W. B. Sauder Co. Publ. Philadelphia.p.28.
- 3. Macfadyen, A. 1963. The contribution of microfauna to total soil metabolism. In:*Soil organism*, J. Doeksen and J. Van Der Drift (Eds). North Holland Publ. Comp., pp 3-16.

References in the text should be quoted by the **author's name and year** in parenthesis and presented in year order. When there are more than two authors the reference should be quoted as: first author followed by *et al.*, throughout the text. Where more than one paper with the same senior author has appeared in on year the references should Cont. ..... P. 16